1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
2	TARA AMIN	
3	Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100	
4	Sacramento, CA 95814	
	Telephone: (916) 554-2700	
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
6	AMANDA N. LISKAMM	
7	Director	
	RACHAEL L. DOUD Assistant Director	
8	ANDREW K. CRAWFORD	
9	FRANCISCO L. UNGER	
0	Trial Attorneys Consumer Protection Branch	
. 1	Civil Division	
11	U.S. Department of Justice	
12	450 5th Street, NW Washington, DC 20530	
13	Telephone: (202) 451-7301	
4	Email: andrew.k.crawford@usdoj.gov	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	United States of America,	Civil Case No. 2:23-cv-02812-TLN-DB
19	Plaintiff,	UNITED STATES' MOTION TO ADD
20		RECEIVERSHIP ENTITIES AND GRANT
$_{21}$	V.	THE RECEIVER CONTROL OF THEIR ACCOUNTS
22	CB SURETY, LLC, et al.,	Accounts
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		

I.

22 23

21

24

25

26 27

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In his Second Interim Status Report ("Second Report") filed on March 4, 2024, and in his Third Interim Status Report filed on May 3, 2024, the Receiver requested that the Court expand the Receivership to include two additional entities, Won it All, Inc. ("Won it All") and Run it Up, Inc. ("Run it Up"). ECF No. 67-1 at 13; ECF No. 72-1 at 35. The Receiver based this request on evidence that these entities, which appear to be controlled by Defendant Aric Gastwirth, have been instrumental in furthering Defendants' alleged scheme and have been used by Defendants to control ill-gotten gains derived from the scheme and to compensate agents and intermediaries involved in furthering the scheme. ECF No. 67-1 at 4-10. Because Defendants appear to have used these accounts to further the scheme, the Receiver also requested that the Court freeze and seize these entities' accounts. ECF No. 67-1 at 13.

The Court has not yet ruled on the Receiver's requests. The United States now also moves the Court to expand the Receivership to include Won it All and Run it Up, to freeze their accounts, and grant the Receiver authority to seize those assets. As detailed below, evidence ascertained through the Receiver's investigation shows that Won it All and Run It Up have been used by Defendants in furtherance of the alleged scheme that aligns with Defendants' use of the Receivership Entities. Thus, extending the Receivership to encompass these additional entities is necessary to ensure an effective Receivership and to preserve relevant evidence and assets. Freezing their accounts and granting the Receiver authority to seize the accounts is also necessary to prevent dissipation of assets and to make funds generated via the scheme available for potential civil damages and redress.

#### II. THE ADDITIONAL ENTITIES

### A. The Receiver's Findings Regarding Run It Up and Won It All

In his Second Report, the Receiver explained that his ongoing factfinding, including his review of corporate documents from Defendants and Receivership Entities, has revealed that Run it Up and Won it All have been instrumental in furthering Defendants' scheme. In particular, Defendants have used Run it Up and Won it All to manage compensation of its employees and agents and to control and distribute ill-gotten gains flowing from the scheme. ECF No. 67-1 at 4-10. Based on a review of corporate records and communications, the Receiver has determined that Run It Up and Won It All have performed work on behalf of, and handled finances related to, Reseller Consultants, Inc. ("Reseller")—a Defendant and Receivership Entity controlled by Defendant Aric Gastwirth that has been a major hub of the scheme. See ECF No. 1 at ¶¶ 46, 56-57, 61, 68.

The Receiver's factfinding determined that these additional entities have operated out of Reseller's office, have been used to systematically transfer funds between Reseller, agents, and intermediaries involved in the alleged scheme, and have been used to cover payroll for employees supervised by Defendants in furtherance of the scheme. ECF No. 67-1 at 5-7. The Receiver has concluded that Reseller has routed scheme-related activities and related funds through Run It Up and Won It All; for example, Run it Up and Won It All have compensated employees of Reseller who have engaged in recruiting straw owners and established sham companies used in the scheme. ECF No. 67-1 at 5-6. Similarly, the Receiver identified documents discussing how Won It All and Run It Up perform services on behalf of Reseller that relate to its promotion of the scheme. *Id.* at 8.

10

13 14

17

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

Meanwhile, bank records belonging to Run It Up and Won It All reveal hundreds of thousands of dollars in transfers from Reseller to these entities' accounts, as well as significant outgoing transfers to Defendants. *Id.* at 8-9. Similarly, contracts involving Run It Up and Won It All outline the services they agreed to perform on behalf of other Receivership Entities involved in the scheme. *Id.* at 9.

In sum, the Receiver's factfinding has demonstrated that Run It Up and Won It All have served as key players in furthering the scheme.

#### B. Expanding the Receivership Is Crucial to Prevent Ongoing Fraud and Dissipation of Assets, and Preserve Evidence and Potential Redress

Granting the United States and the Receiver's request to add Run It Up and Won It All as Receivership entities to freeze their accounts, and to grant the Receiver control over them, aligns with the Court's findings for granting the preliminary injunction, including stopping fraud, preventing the dissipation of assets, allowing for significant factfinding and preservation of evidence by the Receiver, and facilitating potential consumer redress. ECF No. 7 at 5, 8-11; ECF No. 34 at 9-11 (finding sufficient evidence that Defendants Reseller Consultants and Ambragold, Inc.'s intent to deceive and cheat and the United States is likely to prevail on the merits); ECF No. 35 at 2-4. Based on the Receiver's findings, there is good cause to believe that (1) Run It Up and Won It All have engaged in and are likely to engage in acts or practices that violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, 1344, and 1349, and that the United States is, therefore, likely to prevail on the merits of this action, (2) immediate and irreparable harm will result from their ongoing violations unless they are immediately restrained and enjoined by order of this Court, (3) immediate and irreparable damage to the Court's ability to grant effective relief will occur from the transfer, destruction, or other dissipation or concealment by Won It All and Run It Up of their assets or records, unless they are immediately restrained and enjoined by order of this Court; (4)

appointing a temporary receiver over these entities and giving the temporary receiver control of them, and (5) weighing the equities and considering the United States' likelihood of success on the merits, granting the requested preliminary relief; *see* ECF No. 2-1 at 25-28.

Based on Run It Up and Won It All's evident use within Defendants' scheme, these same factors argue in favor of adding them to the Receivership and granting the Receiver control over their accounts. Indeed, given that the Receiver has determined that these additional entities have effectively operated as alter egos of, or sponsoring corporate structures for, an already identified Receivership Entity, Reseller, their addition is a logical and necessary extension of the Receivership that the Court has already authorized.

## C. The Receiver's Request is Consistent With the Authority the Court Has Already Granted Him

The United States previously moved for, and this Court granted, injunctive relief including the appointment of a temporary Receiver empowered to take control of "any ... entity that the Receiver determines is controlled or owned by the Corporate Entities [including Reseller]..." ECF No. 31 at 4. The Receivership authorized by this Court encompassed "Receivership Property," including any assets "owned, controlled or held by or for the benefit of the Receivership Entities [encompassing the Corporate Entities, including Reseller]" or "otherwise held for the benefit of ... [any] entity direct or indirectly owned or controlled by the Receivership Entities." *Id.* at 4-5. Finally, the Court ordered an asset freeze freezing "the Assets of the Corporate Entities [and] Receivership Entities ..." (*id.* at 6) and empowered and required the Receiver to "Take exclusive custody, control, and possession of all Receivership Property." *Id.* at 7.

Based on these established contours of the Receivership, the Receiver's request to add Run It Up and Won It All to the Receivership, to freeze their accounts, and to give the Receiver

#### Case 2:23-cv-02812-TLN-DB Document 76 Filed 05/16/24 Page 6 of 7

possession of their accounts falls squarely within his mandate. Based on the evidence noted 1 above, Run It Up and Won It All qualify as Receivership Entities and their accounts constitute 2 Receivership Property. 3 4 III. **CONCLUSION** 5 Accordingly, the United States asks this Court to grant the Receiver's requests to expand 6 the Receivership to include Won It All and Run It Up, to freeze accounts belonging to them, and 7 to grant the Receiver authority over their accounts. 8 9 10 11 Dated: May 16, 2024 Respectfully submitted, 12 PHILLIP A. TALBERT BRIAN M. BOYNTON 13 Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General United States Attorney 14 ARUN G. RAO TARA AMIN 15 Assistant United States Attorney Deputy Assistant Attorney General 16 AMANDA N. LISKAMM Director, Consumer Protection Branch 17 RACHAEL L. DOUD 18 Assistant Director, Consumer Protection Branch 19 /s/ Francisco L. Unger 20 FRANCISCO L. UNGER 21 ANDREW K. CRAWFORD Trial Attorneys 22 United States Department of Justice 23 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America 24 25 26 27

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 1 2 I certify that on May 16, 2024, a copy of the forgoing Motion to Add Receivership 3 Entities was served on the parties by the following methods: 4 Email: 5 Daniel Olmos 6 Nolan Barton Olmos & Luciano LLP 600 University Avenue 7 Palo Alto, CA 94301 430 D Street 8 Davis, CA 95616 dolmos@nbo.law 9 10 Counsel for Defendants Thomas Eide and Cascades Pointe at Clemson, LLC 11 Manny Medrano RIMÔN PC 12 2029 Century Park East Los Angeles, CA 90067 13 manuel.medrano@rimonlaw.com 14 Counsel for Defendants Aric Gastwirth, Reseller Consultants, Inc., and Ambragold, Inc. 15 Bryan Bass 16 bassbryan1@gmail.com 17 Bass Business Consultants 18 c/o Bryan Bass bassbryan1@gmail.com 19 20 /s/ Francisco L. Unger 21 Francisco L. Unger 22 Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice 23 Attorney for Plaintiff United States of America 24 25 26 27